UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,269	12/30/2004	Richard Park Andersen	ANDE0001	2804	
Richard P. And	7590 07/07/200 ersen	EXAMINER			
100B Albright V	Way	ARJOMANDI, NOOSHA			
City Los Gatos, CA 95032			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2167		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/520,269	ANDERSEN, RIC	ANDERSEN, RICHARD PARK				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Noosha Arjomandi	2167					
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHICHEVER IS LONG  - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the  - If NO period for reply is specific  - Failure to reply within the set of	TTORY PERIOD FOR REPLER, FROM THE MAILING Delable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statution that the mailing is see 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMI 136(a). In no event, however, m will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	JNICATION.  ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status								
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	Jugust 2008						
2a) This action is <b>FIN</b> .	` '	s action is non-final.						
′ <del>=</del>	/ <b>—</b>		matters prosecution as to th	e merits is				
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
· <u>_</u>	ro ponding in the application							
	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
		wir itolii corisideration	•					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is.	-	-1						
8) <u> X </u> Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are	subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is	s objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) file	ed on is/are: a)∏ acc	cepted or b) 🔲 objected	d to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not re	equest that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawi	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pal (3) Information Disclosure State (Paper No(s)/Mail Date (1997)	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) · No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/520,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to application filed on August 4, 2008, which claims 1-28 are presented for further examination.

## **REMARKS**

2. After further review the invention as claimed, it appears that the claims are directed to different invention, which is shown in the restriction requirement as stated below. The office is sorry for any inconvenient.

## Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under U.S.C. 121:
  - a. Claims 1-8, drawn to guery formulation, input preparation, class 707, subclass 4.
  - b. Claims 9-28, drawn to query processing (i.e., searching), class 707, subclass 3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions a and b are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination a has separate utility such as archiving or backup. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/520,269 Page 3

Art Unit: 2167

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

This Office action sets a one-month period for reply (restriction requirement), the applicant may obtain a two-month extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) before being subject to a reduction of patent term adjustment under 154(b)(2)(C)(ii) and CFR 1.704(b).

July 1, 2008 /Noosha Arjomandi/

Examiner, Art Unit 2167

Application/Control Number: 10/520,269

Page 4

Art Unit: 2167

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167